



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Pager No. 6

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
1100 N GLEBE ROAD
8TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714

MAIL

NOV 26 2003

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of:
JAMES A. GREEN SR., ET AL
Application No. 10/052,344
Filed: January 23, 2002
For: SATELLITE BROADCAST RECEIVING
AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

:

: DECISION ON PETITION TO
: MAKE SPECIAL

37 CFR 1.102

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.102, filed August 26, 2003, to make the above-identified application special.

Petitioner requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. §708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age.

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. §1.102 and in accordance with M.P.E.P. §708.02, IV must include a showing, as by a birth certificate or applicant's statement, that the applicant is sixty five (65) years of age or more. No fee is required for this petition.

The petition includes a declaration showing that he is sixty five (65) years of age or more.

Accordingly, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.

If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and he should make a rigid search for such, he is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application becomes involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and

Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

The petition is granted to the extent indicated.

Kenneth A. Wieder

Kenneth A. Wieder
Special Program Examiner
Technology Center 2600
Communications